Transitions in climate and energy discourse between Hurricanes Katrina and Sandy

Emily M. Cody,[1,*] Jennie C. Stephens,[2,†] James P. Bagrow,[1,‡]
Peter Sheridan Dodds,[1,§] and Christopher M. Danforth[1,¶]

[1] *Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Vermont Complex Systems Center, Computational Story Lab, & the Vermont Advanced Computing Core, The University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405.*

[2] *Rubenstein School of Environment and Natural Resources, University of Vermont, Burlington VT 05401*

(Dated: April 26, 2016)

Although climate change and energy are intricately linked, their explicit connection is not always prominent in public discourse and the media. Disruptive extreme weather events, including hurricanes, focus public attention in new and different ways, offering a unique window of opportunity to analyze how a focusing event influences public discourse. Media coverage of extreme weather events simultaneously shapes and reflects public discourse on climate issues. Here we analyze climate and energy newspaper coverage of Hurricanes Katrina (2005) and Sandy (2012) using topic models, mathematical techniques used to discover abstract topics within a set of documents. Our results demonstrate that post-Katrina media coverage does not contain a climate change topic, and the energy topic is limited to discussion of energy prices, markets, and the economy with almost no explicit linkages made between energy and climate change. In contrast, post-Sandy media coverage does contain a prominent climate change topic, a distinct energy topic, as well as integrated representation of climate change and energy, indicating a shift in climate and energy reporting between Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Sandy.

arXiv:1510.07494v2 [physics.soc-ph] 25 Apr 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Climate change is one of the most challenging issues of our time. Anticipated climate disruptions, including a 4°C increase in the Earth's average temperature by the end of the 21st century [25] and more frequent and intense extreme weather events, result from increased atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases attributed primarily to fossil fuel burning for energy.

Given probable links between the increasing ocean temperature and the severity and frequency of hurricanes and tropical storms [16, 24, 33], extreme weather events have potential to raise awareness and increase public concern about climate change. The disruptions caused by hurricanes and other storms can also raise awareness and focus attention on energy system vulnerability. These extreme events can serve as a teachable experience for those not previously engaged with these issues [38]. Indeed, previous research has shown that after experiencing a large hurricane, citizens are more likely to adopt a pro-environmental belief system and support politicians who are climate change activists [46]. Populations living as far as 800 km from the path of a hurricane report having experienced it in some way [23]. Extensive news coverage of extreme weather events has also been found to increase public awareness of climate change by highlighting tangible and specific risks [6, 50]. It has also been shown that individuals affected by a natural disaster are more likely to strengthen interactions on social media [42]. As climate change news is prominent on social media [13], these interactions provide another mechanism for raising climate change awareness following a natural disaster.

This research recognizes the complex relationship between the news media and public discourse on science and policy. The news media both shapes public perceptions and public discourse and reflects and represents public perceptions and public discourse [17, 18]. The media shapes public opinion of science by avoiding complex scientific language and displaying information for the layperson [37, 41, 45]. People are more likely to learn about environmental and other science related risks through the media than through any other source [14, 41]. Research indicates that news media establish the context within which future information will be interpreted [41]. In this research we analyze media coverage to characterize differences in the public discourse about climate change and energy after Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Sandy.

Links between climate change and energy are often focused on climate mitigation, e.g., reducing greenhouse gas emissions from energy systems by shifting low-carbon energy systems. However, climate change and energy are also linked in terms of increased energy system vulnerability in a changing climate [48]. Hurricanes and other extreme weather events often cause disruptions to energy systems including infrastructure damage, fuel supply shortages, and increases in energy prices. Flooding and high wind speeds reveal multiple energy system vulnerabilities including evacuations of oil rigs and power outages at refineries, which can contribute to energy supply shortages and price increases.

Despite the multiple linkages between climate change and energy systems, the issues of climate and energy

* emily.cody@uvm.edu
† jennie.stephens@uvm.edu
‡ james.bagrow@uvm.edu
§ peter.dodds@uvm.edu
¶ chris.danforth@uvm.edu

Typeset by REVTEX

are still often discussed in the media separately [47, 49]. Greater integration of the public discourse on climate change and energy could facilitate more sophisticated consideration of the opportunities for changing energy systems to prepare for climate change [25, 35].

A 2005 study on climate change in the media revealed that articles often frame climate change as a debate, controversy, or uncertainty, which is inconsistent with how the phenomenon is framed within the scientific community [2]. A recent 2015 linguistic study determined that the IPCC summaries, intended for non-scientific audiences, are becoming increasingly more complex and more difficult for people to understand [5], which highlights the critical interpretive role of the media in public discourse.

Here, we quantitatively compare media coverage of climate change, energy, and the links between climate and energy after Hurricanes Katrina and Sandy, two of the most disruptive and costly hurricanes to ever hit the United States [9, 27]. Since energy system disruption represents a tangible consequence of climate change, the linking of these two topics in post-hurricane newspaper coverage provides readers with a portal for climate change education and awareness. Newspaper media was selected for analysis rather than social media because in the rapidly changing media landscape the circulation patterns of these well-established newspapers have been relatively stable during the study period. Also, a 2014 study by the American Press Institute determined that 61% of Americans follow the news through print newspapers and magazines alone. 69% of Americans use laptops and computers which includes online newspapers. 88% of Americans find their news directly from a news organization, as opposed to roughly 45% from social media and 30% from electronic news ads [34]. With this high percentage of Americans getting news from the media, analysis of climate change reporting provides insights on shifts in public discourse and awareness.

We apply two topic modeling techniques stemming from different areas of mathematics to a corpus (collection of text) of newspaper articles about each hurricane. A topic model uses word frequencies within a corpus to assign one or more topics to each text. For our present analysis, we employ Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA), which uses singular value decomposition to reduce a term-document matrix to latent semantic space, and Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA), a probabilistic bayesian modeling technique, which defines each hidden topic as a probability distribution over all of the words in the corpus (we provide more details in the Methods section, Sec. II).

We apply a topic modeling approach as a way to assess the integration of climate change, energy and the links between climate and energy within post-hurricane media coverage. Topic modeling is a valuable tool for the kind of research we perform as it does not require manual coders to read thousands of articles. Instead, a specified number of topics are determined through analysis of the frequency of each word in each article in the corpus. The resulting model explains the corpus in detail by categorizing the articles and terms into topics.

We focus on the two most disruptive and costly hurricanes in U.S. history. In August 2005, Hurricane Katrina struck Louisiana as a Category 3 storm, affecting the Gulf Coast from central Florida to Texas, causing over 100 billion dollars in damage and roughly 1,800 deaths. Katrina destroyed or severely damaged much of New Orleans and other heavily populated areas of the northern Gulf Coast, resulting in catastrophic infrastructure damage and thousands of job losses [27]. Hurricane Sandy hit the northeastern United States in October 2012. It was the largest hurricane of the 2012 Atlantic hurricane season, caused 233 reported deaths, and over 68 billion dollars in damage to residential and commercial facilities as well as transportation and other infrastructure [9]. Many businesses faced short term economic losses, while the travel and tourism industry experienced longer term economic difficulties. In the time shortly after Sandy hit, repairs and reconstructions were estimated to take four years [21].

We use this quantitative approach to assess the degree to which climate change or energy related topics are included in newspaper coverage following Hurricanes Sandy and Katrina. The individual words that define each topic reveal how climate change and energy were represented in post-event reporting, which in turn shapes public discourse.

We first describe the dataset and methods of analysis in Sec. II. We then describe the results of each topic modeling technique for each hurricane and make comparisons between the two corpora in Sec. III. We explore the significance of these results in Sec. IV&V.

II. METHODS

A. Data Collection

We collected newspaper articles published in major U.S. newspapers in the year following each of the hurricanes. We chose the timespan of one year to capture the duration of media coverage following each hurricane and also to ensure we had enough articles from each hurricane to conduct a proper mathematical analysis. We identified newspaper articles through a search that included the name of the hurricane and either the word "hurricane" or "storm" in either the title or leading paragraphs of the article. To account for regional variation in post-hurricane reporting, we chose four newspapers spanning major regions of the United States: Northeast, New England, Midwest, and West. We chose the following four newspapers due to their high Sunday circulation, and because they are high-profile, established newspapers with high readership: The New York Times, The Boston Globe, The Los Angeles Times, and The Chicago Tribune are influential and well-respected nationally as well as locally. These four newspapers are consistently

in the top 25 U.S. Sunday newspapers and were available for article collection through online databases. We collected articles appearing onwards from the first of the month the hurricane occurred in throughout the subsequent year using the ProQuest, LexisNexis, and Westlaw Campus Research online databases. The total number of articles collected and included in the corpora for analysis are 3,100 for Hurricane Katrina and 1,039 for Hurricane Sandy. We transform each corpus into a term-document matrix for the analysis.

B. Latent Semantic Analysis

Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA) is a method of uncovering hidden relationships in document data [15]. LSA uses the matrix factorization technique Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) to reduce the rank of the term-document matrix, and merge the dimensions that share similar meanings. SVD creates the following matrices:

$$M = USV^T,$$

where the matrix M is the original $t \times d$ matrix (number of terms by number of documents), the columns of the matrix U are the eigenvectors of MM^T, the entires in the diagonal of the matrix S are the square roots of the eigenvalues of MM^T, and the rows of the matrix V^T are the eigenvectors of M^TM. Retaining the k largest singular values and setting all others to 0 gives the best rank k approximation of M. This rank reduction creates a $t \times k$ term matrix, U_kS_k, consisting of term vectors in latent semantic space as its columns, and a $k \times d$ document matrix, $S_kV_k^T$, consisting of document vectors as its rows. The documents and terms are then compared in latent semantic space using cosine similarity as the distance metric [7]. If two term vectors have cosine distances close to 1, then these terms are interpreted to be related to each other in meaning. We explain this process further in Fig. 1.

We load the documents into a term-document matrix and remove common and irrelevant terms. The terms we removed included terms common to the articles like "hurricane", "storm", "sandy", and "katrina", along with names of authors and editors of the articles. We then convert each frequency in the matrix to term frequency-inverse document frequency (tf-idf) via the following transformation [4]:

$$w_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (1 + \log_2 f_{i,j}) \times \log_2 \frac{N}{n_i} & f_{i,j} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the variable $w_{i,j}$ is the new weight in the matrix at location (i, j), $f_{i,j}$ is the current frequency in position (i, j), N is the number of documents in the corpus, and n_i is the number of documents containing word i. This weighting scheme places higher weights on rarer terms because they are more selective and provide more information about the corpus, while placing lower weights on common words such as "the" and "and".



Figure 1. a) M is a $t \times d$ matrix where t and d are the number of terms and documents in the corpus. An entry in this matrix represents the number of times a specific term appears in a specific document. b) Singular Value Decomposition factors the matrix M into three matrices. The matrix S has singular values on its diagonal and zeros everywhere else. c) The best rank k approximation of M is calculated by retaining the k highest singular values. k represents the number of topics in the corpus. d) Each term and each document is represented as a vector in latent semantic space. These vectors make up the rows of the term matrix and the columns of the document matrix. e) Terms and documents are compared to each other using cosine similarity, which is determined by calculating the cosine of the angle between two vectors.

We run LSA on the tf-idf term-document matrix for each hurricane. We then compare the documents and terms in the corpus to a given query of terms in latent semantic space. We transform the words that the query is composed of into term vectors, and calculate their centroid to give the vector representation of the query. If the query is only one word in length, then the vector representation of the query equals the vector representation of the word. We analyze three queries using LSA: "climate", "energy", and "climate, energy". LSA gives the terms most related to this query vector, which we then use to determine how climate change and energy are discussed both separately and together in the media after Hurricanes Katrina and Sandy.

C. Latent Dirichlet Allocation

Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA), a probabilistic topic model [10, 11], defines each hidden topic as a probability distribution over all of the words in the corpus, and each document's content is then represented as a prob-

ability distribution over all of the topics. Fig. 2 gives illustrations of distributions for a potential LDA model.



Figure 2. a) Examples of two topic distributions that may arise from an LDA model. In this example, each topic is made up of 10 words and each word contributes to the meaning of the topic in a different proportion. b) Examples of two document distributions that may arise from an LDA model. Document 1 is made up of four major topics, while document 2 is made up of 3 major topics.

LDA assumes that the documents were created via the following generative process. For each document:

1. Randomly choose a distribution of topics from a dirichlet distribution. This distribution of topics contains a nonzero probability of selecting each word in the corpus.

2. For each word in the current document:

   a) Randomly select a topic from the topic distribution in part 1.

   b) Randomly choose a word from the topic just selected and insert it into the document.

3. Repeat until document is complete.

The distinguishing characteristic of LDA is that all of the documents in the corpus share the same set of k topics, however each document contains each topic in a different proportion. The goal of the model is to learn the topic distributions. The generative process for LDA corresponds to the following joint distribution:

$$P(\beta_{1:K}, \theta_{1:D}, z_{1:D}, w_{1:D}) = \prod_{i=1}^{K} P(\beta_i) \prod_{d=1}^{D} P(\theta_d) \left(\prod_{n=1}^{N} P(z_{d,n}|\theta_d) P(w_{d,n}|\beta_{1:K}, z_{d,n}) \right),$$

where β_k is the distribution over the words, $\theta_{d,k}$ is the topic proportion for topic k in document d, $z_{d,n}$ is the topic assignment for the nth word in document d, and $w_{d,n}$ is the nth word in document d. This joint distribution defines certain dependences. The topic selection, $z_{d,n}$ is dependent on the topic proportions each the article, θ_d. The current word $w_{d,n}$ is dependent on both the topic selection, $z_{d,n}$ and topic distribution $\beta_{1:k}$. The main computational problem is computing the posterior. The posterior is the conditional distribution of the topic structure given the observed documents

$$p(\beta_{1:K}, \theta_{1:D}, z_{1:D}|w_{1:D}) = \frac{p(\beta_{1:K}, \theta_{1:D}, z_{1:D}, w_{1:D})}{p(w_{1:D})}.$$

The denominator of the posterior represents the probability of seeing the observed corpus under any topic model. It is computed using the sampling based algorithm, Gibbs Sampling.

We generate topic models for the Hurricane Sandy and Katrina articles using LDA-C, developed by Blei in [11]. We remove a list of common stop words from the corpus, along with common words specific to this corpus such as "Sandy", "Katrina", "hurricane", and "storm". After filtering through the words, we use a Porter word stemmer to stem the remaining words, so each word is represented in one form, while it may appear in the articles in many different tenses [44].

D. Determining the Number of Topics

The number of topics within a particular corpus depends on the size and scope of the corpus. In our corpora, the scope is already quite narrow as we only focus on newspaper articles about a particular hurricane. Thus, we do not expect the number of topics to be large, and to choose the number of topics for the analysis, we implement several techniques.

First, to determine k, the rank of the approximated term-document matrix used in LSA, we look at the singular values determined via SVD. The 100 largest singular values are plotted in Fig. 3 for Hurricanes Sandy and Katrina. The singular value decay rate slows considerably between singular values 20 and 30 for both matrices. We find that topics become repetitive above $k = 20$, and thus we choose $k = 20$ as the rank of the approximated term-document matrix in LSA.



Figure 3. The 100 largest singular values in the (a) Hurricane Sandy and (b) Hurricane Katrina tf-idf matrices. The elbow around 20 topics (see dashed line) determines the value of k for SVD in LSA.

To determine the number of topics for LDA to learn we

use the perplexity, a measure employed in [11] to determine how accurately the topic model predicts a sample of unseen documents. We compute the perplexity of a held out test set of documents for each hurricane, and vary the number of learned topics on the training data. Perplexity will decrease with the number of topics and should eventually level out when increasing the number of topics no longer increases the accuracy of the model. The perplexity may begin to increase when adding topics causes the model to overfit the data. Perplexity is defined in [11] as

$$\text{perplexity}(D_{\text{test}}) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{d=1}^{M}\log p(\mathbf{w}_d)}{\sum_{d=1}^{M} N_d}\right\},$$

where the numerator represents the log-likelihood of unseen documents $\mathbf{w}_d$, and the denominator represents the total number of words in the testing set. We separate the data into 10 equal testing and training sets for 10 fold cross validation on each hurricane. We run LDA on each of the 10 different training sets consisting of 90% of the articles in each hurricane corpus. We then calculate the perplexity for a range of topic numbers on the testing sets, each consisting of 10% of the articles. We average the perplexity at each topic number over the testing sets, and plot the result in Fig. 4(a) & (b).



Figure 4. Average perplexity (over 10 testing sets) vs number of topics for the full (a) Sandy and (b) Katrina corpora. Perplexity measures how well the model can predict a sample of unseen documents. A lower perplexity indicates a better model. Dashed lines show the optimal number of topics. (c) The average perplexity over 100 random samples of 1039 (the size of the Sandy corpus) documents from the Katrina corpus. Each topic number is averaged first over 10 testing sets and then over 100 random samples from the full Katrina corpus. Topic numbers increase by 2. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 4 indicates that the optimal number of topics in the Hurricane Sandy corpus is roughly 20 distinct topics, while the optimal number in the Hurricane Katrina corpus is between 280 and 300 distinct topics. Compared to the Sandy corpus, the Hurricane Katrina corpus contains three times as many articles and about double the number of unique words (17,898 vs 9,521). On average, an article in the Hurricane Sandy corpus contains 270 words, while an article in the Hurricane Katrina corpus contains 376 words. The difference in these statistics may account for the difference in optimal topic numbers in Fig. 4. To test this hypothesis, we take 100 random samples of size 1039 (the size of the Sandy corpus) from the Katrina corpus and calculate the average perplexity over these samples. For each of the 100 random samples, we use 10 testing and training sets for 10 fold cross validation, as was done in the previous calculations of perplexity. We calculate the average perplexity over the 10 testing sets for each topic number, and then average over the 100 samples for each topic number, showing the result in Fig. 4(c). We find that on average, the optimal number of topics for a smaller Katrina corpus is around 30.

Based on the above analysis, we opt to use a 20-topic model for Hurricane Sandy and a 30-topic model for Hurricane Katrina in our LDA analysis of the post-event media coverage.

III. RESULTS

A. Latent Semantic Analysis

We compute a topic model for each corpus using LSA as described in the preceding methods section. We provide 40 words most related to the three queries of interest in Tables I & II. We list the 100 most related words to each query in the Supplementary Materials (see Tables V & VI). While it is not possible to objectively explain why each word ranks where it does in the following lists, we search for a common theme within the words to determine how climate and energy were discussed in the media following these hurricanes.

1. Hurricane Katrina

Within the Hurricane Katrina news media coverage, explicit reference to climate change was infrequent. The set of words most related to "climate" includes words such as "theory", "unlikely", "belief", and "possibility", indicating that linkages with climate change after Hurricane Katrina were tentative. The uncertain link between hurricanes and climate change is often present in political discussions, thus the appearance of the word "politician" in the "climate" list is not surprising. A direct quote from the article most related to the "climate" query reads:

Hurricane Katrina					
"climate"	Similarity	"energy"	Similarity	"climate,energy"	Similarity
climate	1.000	energy	1.000	energy	0.979
larger	0.866	prices	0.986	prices	0.952
destroy	0.861	exchange	0.968	deutsche	0.945
formally	0.848	consumers	0.966	price	0.943
theory	0.844	weinberg	0.966	underinvestment	0.943
sound	0.837	argus	0.964	signaling	0.941
gale	0.826	reidy	0.962	discounting	0.940
reinforced	0.817	splurge	0.960	java	0.940
journal	0.815	hummer	0.960	argus	0.939
sensitive	0.814	markets	0.959	hummer	0.938
unlikely	0.812	downers	0.958	oil	0.937
belief	0.809	highs	0.958	consumers	0.937
phenomenon	0.809	underinvestment	0.957	shocks	0.934
rail	0.800	exporting	0.954	weinberg	0.934
studying	0.796	price	0.954	markets	0.934
wealthy	0.795	reserves	0.954	profits	0.931
brings	0.792	signaling	0.953	reserves	0.931
barge	0.792	dampening	0.950	exchange	0.931
ancient	0.791	oil	0.950	peaks	0.931
masters	0.786	java	0.949	highs	0.929
politicians	0.785	cents	0.948	splurge	0.927
professor	0.783	deutsche	0.948	exporting	0.927
recommendations	0.782	gasoline	0.947	gasoline	0.923
thick	0.782	traders	0.946	dampening	0.923
marked	0.780	nariman	0.946	pinch	0.922
alter	0.779	discounting	0.945	oils	0.922
sounds	0.776	behravesh	0.944	soaring	0.922
hole	0.776	retailers	0.943	exported	0.920
peril	0.775	barrel	0.942	reidy	0.919
extremely	0.771	heating	0.942	output	0.919
avoided	0.770	oils	0.942	exporter	0.917
loose	0.770	shocks	0.941	easing	0.917
multi	0.769	idled	0.941	putins	0.917
appear	0.767	jolted	0.941	record	0.916
devastating	0.766	output	0.940	tumbling	0.916
draft	0.764	peaks	0.937	demand	0.915
possibility	0.764	profits	0.936	downers	0.915
roiled	0.759	soared	0.936	automaker	0.913
retracted	0.758	exported	0.936	heating	0.913
mismanagement	0.758	premcor	0.935	disruptions	0.913

Table I. Results of LSA for Hurricane Katrina for 3 different queries. Words are ordered based on their cosine similarity with the query vector.

> "When two hurricanes as powerful as Katrina and Rita pummel the Gulf Coast so close together, many Americans are understandably wondering if something in the air has changed. Scientists are wondering the same thing. The field's leading researchers say it is too early to reach unequivocal conclusions. But some of them see evidence that global warming may be increasing the share of hurricanes that reach the monster magnitude of Katrina, and Rita" [12].

Words such as "studying", "professor", and "masters" also indicate that reporting on climate change focused on research and academics. The "climate" list does not contain words relating to energy or energy systems and does not focus on the science or consequences of climate change.

Within the 40 words most related to the "energy" query, the majority pertain to energy prices and the stock market. Within the "climate" and "energy" lists there is no overlap in the 40 most related words to these queries.

The "climate" and "energy" vectors are averaged to create the "climate, energy" query vector. The list of words most similar to this query is far more comparable to the "energy" list than the "climate" list. Of the 100 most related words to each query, there are 84 shared words between the "energy" and "climate, energy" lists. This list again focuses on energy prices and not at all on climate change or infrastructure vulnerability, indicating that discussions about climate change, energy, and power outages were independent of one another within media reporting following Hurricane Katrina.

2. Hurricane Sandy

In the Hurricane Sandy corpus, we find the word "climate" is most related to words describing climate change and global warming. We also see words related to energy such as "emissions", "coal", "carbon", and "dioxide". Including the top 100 words most related to "climate" we see more energy related words including "fossil", "hydroelectric", "technologies", and "energy" itself. This list differs substantially from that of the Hurricane Katrina analysis.

The word "energy" in the Hurricane Sandy corpus is most related to words describing climate change, such as the contributions of fossil fuels and the potential of renewable ("hydroelectric", "renewable") energy resources. This list of words focuses largely on how energy consumption is contributing to climate change, and, unlike the Katrina corpus, considerably overlaps with the list of "climate" words.

Of the 100 words most related to "energy", 58 of them are also listed in the 100 words most related to "climate". Of the 20 documents most related to the word "energy", 15 of them are also listed in the 20 documents most related to "climate". Many of these articles discuss harmful emissions, renewable energy, and fossil fuels.

In the Hurricane Sandy corpus, the "climate, energy" query is again most related to the climate change and global warming related terms. There are 87 shared terms in the "climate" and "climate, energy" lists and 66 shared terms in the "energy" and "climate, energy" related lists. This result illustrates that when climate change was discussed in the media following Hurricane Sandy, energy related themes were often present.

B. Latent Dirichlet Allocation

We generate LDA models for both the Sandy and Katrina corpora using 20 topics and 30 topics for Sandy and Katrina respectively (see Methods). The 20 most probable words in 10 selected topic distributions are given in

Hurricane Sandy					
"climate"	Similarity	"energy"	Similarity	"climate,energy"	Similarity
climate	1.000	energy	1.000	climate	0.979
change	0.963	technologies	0.949	warmer	0.961
reduce	0.957	fuels	0.946	georgetown	0.956
warming	0.957	fossil	0.943	warming	0.955
reducing	0.956	hydroelectric	0.936	reduce	0.955
pressures	0.952	renewable	0.932	energy	0.952
georgetown	0.947	rogue	0.932	reducing	0.951
lowering	0.943	employing	0.921	pressures	0.948
talks	0.942	warmer	0.920	fossil	0.947
devise	0.938	supplying	0.918	fuels	0.946
expands	0.938	firing	0.913	change	0.946
outweigh	0.937	efficiency	0.911	technologies	0.945
warmer	0.937	streamlined	0.911	coal	0.943
plants	0.934	generating	0.908	global	0.942
drought	0.933	altering	0.906	hydroelectric	0.941
manipulation	0.929	coal	0.906	emissions	0.940
emissions	0.929	consumption	0.900	firing	0.937
global	0.929	adapt	0.898	outweigh	0.936
imperative	0.927	sparked	0.895	generating	0.933
arizona	0.924	dimming	0.894	carbon	0.930
attribute	0.923	georgetown	0.892	arizona	0.930
scientists	0.923	carbon	0.889	editorials	0.929
planet	0.920	masonry	0.888	plants	0.927
pollution	0.919	global	0.886	humanitys	0.926
curbing	0.918	erratic	0.885	altering	0.926
coal	0.917	searchable	0.884	manipulation	0.924
editorials	0.915	faster	0.882	pollution	0.923
targets	0.914	emissions	0.881	employing	0.923
oceans	0.912	skeptics	0.880	drought	0.922
vigil	0.912	proportion	0.877	extracted	0.921
scenarios	0.911	trillions	0.876	foretaste	0.920
extracted	0.911	foretaste	0.876	skeptics	0.919
humanitys	0.911	warming	0.875	lowering	0.919
distraction	0.910	reduce	0.875	dioxide	0.918
pentagon	0.910	editorials	0.875	efficiency	0.918
contiguous	0.909	humanitys	0.875	planet	0.917
controlling	0.908	eco	0.875	curbing	0.917
carbon	0.907	ton	0.874	consumption	0.915
dioxide	0.906	efficient	0.872	expands	0.914
extremes	0.905	cities	0.872	subtler	0.913

Table II. Results of LSA for Hurricane Sandy for 3 different queries. Words are ordered based on their cosine similarity with the query vector.

Tables III & IV. The full models are given in the Supplementary Materials (see Tables VII & VIII). In addition to creating a distribution of topics over words, LDA also creates a distribution of documents over topics. Each topic is present in each document with some nonzero probability. We counted the number of times each topic appeared as one of the top two ranked topics in an article and divided this number by the number of articles in the corpus. Fig. 5 summarizes the overall results of LDA for Katrina (a) and Sandy (b) by giving the proportion of articles that each topic appears in with high probability. We determined the topic names by manually analyzing the probability distribution of words in each topic. We go into more detail on the topics of importance in the following sections.



Figure 5. The proportion of articles ranking each topic as the first or second most probable topic, i.e., the proportion of articles that each topic appears in with high probability in the (a) Hurricane Katrina and (b) Hurricane Sandy corpora. The topics order is by decreasing proportions.

1. *Hurricane Katrina*

In Table III we give 10 of the 30 topics in the LDA model for Hurricane Katrina. In the Hurricane Katrina model, we see topics relating to deaths, relief, insurance, flooding, and energy. We also see location specific topics such as sporting events, Mardi Gras, and music. A major topic that is absent from this model is climate change. Similar to the results we saw for the Katrina LSA model,

the energy topic (Topic 8) in the Katrina LDA model contains words relating to energy prices, the market, and the economy. In addition to a missing climate change topic, there is no mention of the climate within Topic 8 either, indicating that Hurricane Katrina did not only lack in climate change reporting but it also did not highlight the link between climate change and energy.

2. Hurricane Sandy

In the Hurricane Sandy LDA model, we see topics related to medics, insurance, fundraisers, government, damage, power outages, and climate change. Unlike the Katrina model, we find that Topic 2 clearly represents climate change. Words such as "flood", "weather", and "natural" indicate that the reporting on climate change within articles about Hurricane Sandy discussed how climate change is contributing to weather extremes and natural disasters. There was also considerable reporting on the rising sea levels, which are expected to contribute to the intensity of hurricanes and tropical storms [36].

Dispersed throughout the weather related words in Topic 2, we see the words "energy", "power", and "develop", indicating that power outages and energy system development were often discussed within articles that mentioned climate change, highlighting a link between climate change and the energy disruption caused by Hurricane Sandy. Extending the number of words in Topic 2 we find more energy related words including "infrastructure" (23), "carbon" (28), "resilience" (35), and "emissions" (37). A list of the 100 most probable words in Topic 2 is given in the Supplementary Information. While "carbon" and "emissions" are clearly linked to climate change, words like "infrastructure" and "resilience" indicate a link between climate change discussion and energy system vulnerability.

Topic 0 also contains words pertaining to energy systems. This topic, however, does not contain any words pertaining to climate change. Topic 0 is about electricity ("company", "electricity", "system"), power outages ("power","utility", "service"), and communication ("verizon", "phone", "network"). One benefit of LDA is that the model not only creates distributions of words over topics, but also distributions of topics over documents. Of the 162 articles that are made up of more than 1% Topic 2, 24 of them also contain Topic 0, demonstrating that these two topics were sporadically reported on in the same article. For example, an article in *The New York Times* entitled "Experts Advise Cuomo on Disaster Measures" discusses how New York City can better prepare for drastic outages caused by extreme weather and directly quotes Governor Cuomo's concerns about climate change:

> " 'Climate change is dramatically increasing the frequency and the severity of these situations,' Mr. Cuomo said. 'And as time goes on, we're more and more realizing that these crises are more frequent and worse than anyone had predicted.' " [26]

Hurricane Katrina				
7: deaths	8: energy	12: relief	13: family	14: mardi gras
bodi	price	red	famili	gras
death	oil	cross	home	mardi
offici	percent	donat	children	french
state	energi	relief	day	restaur
home	gas	organ	live	parad
die	gasolin	volunt	back	street
victim	rate	victim	school	back
peopl	market	fund	mother	peopl
famili	week	peopl	friend	quarter
parish	product	million	peopl	time
st	month	chariti	im	home
louisiana	consum	disast	call	day
identifi	report	american	hous	citi
morgu	economi	money	stay	make
relat	compani	group	time	club
coron	increas	rais	dont	louisiana
dr	gulf	effort	work	cook
dead	fuel	food	life	krew
found	expect	org	son	hotel
remain	gallon	shelter	left	celebr
16: shows	19: travel	21: insurance	28: evacuation	29: response
music	ship	insur	hous	fema
jazz	airlin	flood	evacue	respons
band	show	damag	fema	feder
musician	news	billion	peopl	agenc
art	time	state	offici	brown
cultur	northrop	compani	home	disast
museum	network	loss	houston	govern
perform	travel	mississippi	feder	emerg
play	air	home	agenc	secur
festiv	nbc	homeown	hotel	offici
artist	million	pay	trailer	homeland
song	broadcast	claim	famili	hous
work	report	cost	state	depart
show	abc	allstat	shelter	report
time	cruis	area	emerg	manag
concert	program	properti	live	chertoff
includ	film	louisiana	month	white
orchestra	channel	industri	apart	bush
event	televis	feder	govern	plan
record	navi	polici	assist	investig

Table III. The 20 most probable words within 10 of the 30 topic distributions given by LDA for Hurricane Katrina. The words are stemmed according to a Porter stemmer [44], where for example *flooded*, *flooding*, and *floods* all become *flood*.

Although the models for each hurricane generate some similar topics, there are some topics in one model that do not appear in the other. Both models give topics on poli-

Hurricane Sandy				
0: utility	1: election	2: climate	3: community	7: transportation
power	obama	climat	hous	train
util	romney	flood	home	author
servic	presid	chang	water	station
compani	campaign	protect	beach	line
author	elect	build	car	servic
electr	state	rise	live	tunnel
island	republican	sea	flood	jersey
custom	vote	water	peopl	gas
state	polit	risk	point	transport
system	governor	level	fire	power
grid	voter	energi	street	damag
long	day	natur	rockaway	subway
verizon	poll	power	back	street
nation	democrat	weather	day	manhattan
work	peopl	develop	insur	offici
phone	debat	make	damag	transit
commiss	candid	cost	resid	long
network	presidenti	state	work	system
con	time	plan	famili	day
edison	nation	surg	neighborhood	island
8: medical	9: insurance	12: impact	13: media	15: fundraising
hospit	insur	wind	show	concert
home	compani	power	time	perform
patient	percent	day	stewart	ticket
health	sale	close	peopl	music
medic	month	weather	make	show
nurs	market	coast	photo	million
evacu	busi	expect	live	money
emerg	increas	servic	twitter	benefit
center	million	travel	call	hall
dr	loss	area	work	rais
peopl	industri	offici	news	song
citi	home	peopl	stori	peopl
offici	report	state	includ	night
resid	expect	damag	inform	work
island	billion	flood	magazin	relief
day	rate	nation	photograph	refund
care	week	massachusett	design	springsteen
bird	retail	center	post	jersey
mayor	consum	report	print	sale
mold	claim	hour	page	band

Table IV. The 20 most probable words within 10 of the 20 topic distributions given by LDA for Hurricane Sandy. The words are stemmed according to a Porter stemmer [44].

tics, community, government aid, fundraisers, insurance, family, travel, medics, flooding, damage, evacuations, and energy. The Hurricane Katrina model also gives topics relating to sporting events, Mardi Gras, music, military, and the death toll, while the Sandy model gives topics relating to museums, beaches, weather, Broadway, and climate change. Many of the topics only appearing in one of the models appear there due to the hurricane's location. The climate change topic, however, appears only in the Hurricane Sandy corpus and its absence in the Hurricane Katrina corpus cannot be simply be a consequence of the different locations of the hurricanes.

IV. DISCUSSION

Through this analysis using topic models, we discover that climate change and energy were often discussed together within coverage of Hurricane Sandy, whereas the climate change topic is largely absent in post Hurricane Katrina reporting. This difference can be attributed in part to changing public perceptions about climate change over time. As early as 2001, the scientific consensus that climate change is occurring and resulting from human activity was legitimized by the IPCC assessment reports [19]. A 2003 national study on climate change risk perceptions, however, revealed that while most Americans demonstrate awareness of climate change, 68% considered it only a moderate risk issue more likely to impact areas far from the United States [31]. In Fall 2008 (years after Hurricane Katrina), 51% of Americans were either alarmed or concerned about global warming [32], and in March 2012 (months before Hurricane Sandy), this number decreased to 39% [30]. In April 2013, 38% of Americans believed that people around the world are currently affected or harmed by the consequences of climate change [28]. Those in the "alarmed" and "concerned" categories are also far more likely to report that they experienced a natural disaster within the last year [30], implying a potential relationship between personal experience of consequences and the perception of climate change risks [38]. Participants in the Yale School of Forestry & Environmental Studies "Americans and Climate Change" conference in 2005 determined that since science is the main source of climate change information, there is room for misinterpretation and disconnects in society's understanding of the issue [1].

The 2004 and 2005 Atlantic hurricane seasons were among the costliest in United States history [8]. In 2004, scientists began to propose that the intensity of the latest hurricane season may be linked to global warming. However, the state of climate science at the time could not support such a hypothesis, and linkages between global warming and the impacts of hurricanes were deemed premature [43]. Media coverage of climate change often presents the scientific consensus and has influenced public opinion and risk perceptions on climate change [3]. Complexity and uncertainty within the scientific community regarding the link between climate change and hurricanes may be why climate change does not appear as a prominent topic in the 2005 news media analysis of Hurricane Katrina.

Conversely, media reporting following Hurricane Sandy did connect explicitly with climate change. By the time Hurricane Sandy occurred in 2012, climate science research had progressed and begun exploring the link between hurricanes and global warming [16, 24, 33]. The Yale Project on Climate Change and Communications

poll in March 2012 showed that a large majority of Americans believed at that time that certain weather extremes and natural disasters are caused by global warming [29]. This evolution of climate change research and public awareness is reflected in the different coverage of climate change after Hurricane Sandy.

Also unique to Hurricane Sandy coverage was the presence of climate and energy topics together. While Hurricane Katrina reporting focused on the increase in energy prices following the storm, this increase in price was not explicitly linked to the consequences of climate change within media reporting. Hurricane Katrina caused massive disruptions in oil and gas production in the Gulf of Mexico, which caused large spikes in the cost of oil and natural gas. During Katrina, 2.6 million customers lost power in Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, and Georgia [39]. The destruction caused by Katrina (followed shortly after by Hurricane Rita) encouraged drilling companies to upgrade their infrastructure to better withstand the forceful waves and wind from a large hurricane [20]. During Hurricane Sandy, 8.66 million customers lost power from North Carolina to Maine, and it took 10 days for the utilities to restore power to 95% of these affected customers. Reporting on these outages is reflected in the LDA climate change topic. Flooding and power outages at refineries, pipelines, and petroleum terminals in the New York Harbor area lead to gasoline shortages and prices increases [40]. These impacts illustrated some of the consequences of climate change and an increase in severity of natural disasters. Hurricane Sandy news reporting not only highlighted the consequences of climate change but also the relationship between climate change, energy, and energy system vulnerability.

V. CONCLUSION

Given that the media both shapes and reflects public discourse, this analysis characterizing stark differences in media coverage between Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Sandy demonstrates a shift in public discourse on climate change and energy systems. Although energy systems were disrupted in both storms, the connections between energy and climate change were made much more explicitly in the post-Hurricane Sandy news coverage as compared to the post-Hurricane Katrina coverage. This shift is likely to represent multiple changes including: (1) increased public awareness and concern about climate change, (2) improved scientific understanding of the link between hurricane intensity and climate change, and (3) greater understanding of the energy system risks associated with climate change. The ways that climate and energy are connected in the media coverage also reflects a larger shift toward increasing attention towards climate change adaptation in addition to climate mitigation [22].

Our investigation presents a mathematical approach to assessing public discourse of climate and energy, one that could be applied to assessing news media of other key areas in environmental studies. This analysis focuses on Hurricanes Katrina and Sandy due to their disruption and societal impact as focusing events. Future research could expand to investigate how energy and climate are presented in other climate and energy related media coverage over time.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge support of this work from the National Science Foundation under project DGE-1144388, RAPID grant NSF-SES-1316442, and grant DMS-0940271 to the Mathematics & Climate Research Network. PSD was supported by NSF CAREER Grant No. 0846668. Our work was crucially supported by the computational resources provided by the Vermont Advanced Computing Core and the Vermont Complex Systems Center. We also acknowledge collaborators Tarla Rai Peterson, Elizabeth Wilson, Lauren Zeimer, and Andrea Feldpausch-Parker who contributed to initial post-Sandy media analysis.

[1] D. R. Abbasi. *Americans and Climate Change: Closing the Gap between Science and Action*. Yale school of forestry & environmental studies publication series, 2006.

[2] L. Antilla. Climate of scepticism: Us newspaper coverage of the science of climate change. *Global environmental change*, 15(4):338–352, 2005.

[3] L. Antilla. Self-censorship and science: a geographical review of media coverage of climate tipping points. *Public Understanding of Science*, 2008.

[4] R. Baeza-Yates, B. Ribeiro-Neto, et al. *Modern information retrieval*, volume 463. ACM press New York, 1999.

[5] R. Barkemeyer, S. Dessai, B. Monge-Sanz, B. G. Renzi, and G. Napolitano. Linguistic analysis of IPCC summaries for policymakers and associated coverage. *Nature Climate Change*, page 10.1038/nclimate2824, 2015.

[6] A. Bell. Media (mis) communication on the science of climate change. *Public understanding of science*, 3(3):259–275, 1994.

[7] M. W. Berry and M. Browne. *Understanding search engines: mathematical modeling and text retrieval*, volume 17. Siam, 2005.

[8] J. L. Beven, L. A. Avila, E. S. Blake, D. P. Brown, J. L. Franklin, R. D. Knabb, R. J. Pasch, J. R. Rhome, and S. R. Stewart. Atlantic hurricane season of 2005. *Monthly Weather Review*, 136(3):1109–1173, 2008.

[9] E. S. Blake, T. B. Kimberlian, R. J. Berg, J. P. Cangialosi, and J. L. Beven. Tropical cyclone report, hurricane sandy. *National Hurricane Center*, 2013.

[10] D. M. Blei. Probabilistic topic models. *Communications of the ACM*, 55(4):77–84, 2012.

[11] D. M. Blei, A. Y. Ng, and M. I. Jordan. Latent dirichlet allocation. *the Journal of machine Learning research*, 3:993–1022, 2003.

[12] R. Brownstein. Hard choices blow in the winds of katrina, and now rita. *The Los Angeles Times*, Sep 26 2005.

[13] E. M. Cody, A. J. Reagan, L. Mitchell, P. S. Dodds, and C. M. Danforth. Climate change sentiment on twitter: An unsolicited public opinion poll. *PLoS ONE*, 10(8), 2015.

[14] J. B. Corbett and J. L. Durfee. Testing public (un) certainty of science media representations of global warming. *Science Communication*, 26(2):129–151, 2004.

[15] S. C. Deerwester, S. T. Dumais, T. K. Landauer, G. W. Furnas, and R. A. Harshman. Indexing by latent semantic analysis. *JASIS*, 41(6):391–407, 1990.

[16] C. B. Field. *Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation: special report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[17] W. A. Gamson and A. Modigliani. Media discourse and public opinion on nuclear power: A constructionist approach. *American journal of sociology*, pages 1–37, 1989.

[18] D. A. Graber. *Mass media and American politics*. SAGE, 2009.

[19] D. J. Griggs and M. Noguer. Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. contribution of working group i to the third assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. *Weather*, 57(8):267–269, 2002.

[20] R. Heidrick. Hurricane season could bring higher energy prices. *Texas Enterprise*, 2013.

[21] D. K. Henry, S. Cooke-Hull, J. Savukinas, F. Yu, N. Elo, and B. Vac Arnum. Economic impact of Hurriane Sandy: Potential economic activity lost and gained in New Jersey and New York. Technical report, U.S. Department of Commerce, 09 2013.

[22] D. J. Hess. Transitions in energy systems: The mitigation–adaptation relationship. *Science as Culture*, 22(2):197–203, 2013.

[23] P. D. Howe, H. Boudet, A. Leiserowitz, and E. W. Maibach. Mapping the shadow of experience of extreme weather events. *Climatic Change*, 127(2):381–389, 2014.

[24] D. G. Huber and J. Gulledge. *Extreme weather and climate change: Understanding the link, managing the risk*. Pew Center on Global Climate Change Arlington, 2011.

[25] IPCC. Climate change 2014 mitigation of climate change, intergovernmental panel on climate change. 2014.

[26] T. Kaplan. Experts advise Cuomo on disaster measures. *The New York Times*, January 4 2013.

[27] R. Knabb, J. Rhome, and D. Brown. Tropical cyclone report?hurricane katrina. national hurricane center. *Miami, FL*, 2006.

[28] A. Leiserowitz, E. Maibach, C. Roser-Renouf, G. Feinberg, and P. Howe. Climate change in the american mind: Americans' global warming beliefs and attitudes in April, 2013. *Yale University and George Mason University. New Haven, CT: Yale Project on Climate Change Communication*, 2013.

[29] A. Leiserowitz, E. Maibach, C. Roser-Renouf, and J. Hmielowski. Extreme weather, climate & preparedness in the american mind. *Yale University and George Mason University. New Haven, CT.)(Report)*, 2012.

[30] A. Leiserowitz, E. Maibach, C. Roser-Renouf, and N. Smith. Global warmings six americas, march 2012 and november 2011. *Yale University and George Mason University*, 2012.

[31] A. A. Leiserowitz. American risk perceptions: Is climate change dangerous? *Risk analysis*, 25(6):1433–1442, 2005.

[32] E. W. Maibach, A. Leiserowitz, C. Roser-Renouf, and C. Mertz. Identifying like-minded audiences for global warming public engagement campaigns: An audience segmentation analysis and tool development. *PloS one*, 6(3):e17571, 2011.

[33] M. E. Mann and K. A. Emanuel. Atlantic hurricane trends linked to climate change. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 87(24):233–241, 2006.

[34] Media Insight Project. How Americans get their news. *The Personal News Cycle*, 2014.

[35] B. Metz. *Controlling climate change*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[36] W. K. Michener, E. R. Blood, K. L. Bildstein, M. M. Brinson, and L. R. Gardner. Climate change, hurricanes and tropical storms, and rising sea level in coastal wetlands. *Ecological Applications*, 7(3):770–801, 1997.

[37] D. Murray, J. B. Schwartz, and S. R. Lichter. *It ain't necessarily so: How media make and unmake the scientific picture of reality*. Rowman & Littlefield, 2001.

[38] T. A. Myers, E. W. Maibach, C. Roser-Renouf, K. Akerlof, and A. A. Leiserowitz. The relationship between personal experience and belief in the reality of global warming. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(4):343–347, 2013.

[39] U. D. of Energy. Hurricane Katrina situation report #11. *Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (OE)*, 2005.

[40] U. D. of Energy. Comparing the impacts of northeast hurricanes on energy infrastructure. *Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability (OE)*, 2013.

[41] T. Peterson and J. Thompson. Environmental risk communication: responding to challenges of complexity and uncertainty. *Handbook of risk and crisis communication (pp. 591Á606). New York: Routledge*, 2009.

[42] T. Q. Phan and E. M. Airoldi. A natural experiment of social network formation and dynamics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(21):6595–6600, 2015.

[43] R. A. Pielke Jr, C. Landsea, M. Mayfield, J. Laver, and R. Pasch. Hurricanes and global warming. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 86(11):1571–1575, 2005.

[44] M. F. Porter. An algorithm for suffix stripping. *Program*, 14(3):130–137, 1980.

[45] S. H. Priest. *Doing media research: an introduction*. Sage, 2009.

[46] L. A. Rudman, M. C. McLean, and M. Bunzl. When truth is personally inconvenient, attitudes change the impact of extreme weather on implicit support for green politicians and explicit climate-change beliefs. *Psychological science*, 2013.

[47] J. C. Stephens, G. M. Rand, and L. L. Melnick. Wind energy in us media: a comparative state-level analysis of a critical climate change mitigation technology. *Environmental Communication*, 3(2):168–190, 2009.

[48] J. C. Stephens, E. J. Wilson, T. R. Peterson, and J. Meadowcroft. Getting smart? climate change and the electric grid. *Challenges*, 4(2):201–216, 2013.

[49] E. J. Wilson, J. C. Stephens, T. R. Peterson, and M. Fischlein. Carbon capture and storage in context: The importance of state policy and discourse in deploying emerging energy technologies. *Energy Procedia*, 1(1):4519–4526, 2009.

[50] K. M. Wilson. Drought, debate, and uncertainty: measuring reporters' knowledge and ignorance about climate change. *Public Understanding of Science*, 9(1):1–13, 2000.

Supplementary Materials

Hurricane Katrina LSA													
	"climate"	Similarity	"energy"	Similarity	"climate, energy"	Similarity		"climate"	Similarity	"energy"	Similarity	"climate, energy"	Similarity
1	climate	1.000	energy	1.000	energy	0.979	51	supposedly	0.746	conocophillips	0.929	retailers	0.908
2	larger	0.866	prices	0.986	prices	0.952	52	boogie	0.746	jumped	0.928	citroen	0.907
3	destroy	0.861	exchange	0.968	deutsche	0.945	53	theories	0.746	citroen	0.927	behravesh	0.907
4	formally	0.848	consumers	0.966	price	0.943	54	nurtured	0.745	tumbling	0.926	traders	0.906
5	theory	0.844	weinberg	0.966	underinvestment	0.943	55	raw	0.745	mercantile	0.925	producers	0.905
6	sound	0.837	argus	0.964	signaling	0.941	56	topics	0.744	production	0.924	idled	0.905
7	gale	0.826	reidy	0.962	discounting	0.940	57	sounded	0.743	embargo	0.923	products	0.905
8	reinforced	0.817	splurge	0.960	java	0.940	58	cynthia	0.742	putins	0.922	tenth	0.904
9	journal	0.815	hummer	0.960	argus	0.939	59	deadly	0.742	shutdowns	0.920	export	0.904
10	sensitive	0.814	markets	0.959	hummer	0.938	60	sacrifice	0.741	reserve	0.920	commodity	0.904
11	unlikely	0.812	downers	0.958	oil	0.937	61	certain	0.740	crude	0.920	imports	0.903
12	belief	0.809	highs	0.958	consumers	0.937	62	cataclysmic	0.740	arabica	0.920	adjusting	0.903
13	phenomenon	0.809	underinvestment	0.957	shocks	0.934	63	nor	0.740	pretax	0.919	yergin	0.902
14	rail	0.800	exporting	0.954	weinberg	0.934	64	reconstructed	0.739	mobil	0.919	artificially	0.902
15	studying	0.796	price	0.954	markets	0.934	65	assessments	0.739	soaring	0.919	nariman	0.902
16	wealthy	0.795	reserves	0.954	profits	0.931	66	haunting	0.738	uncharted	0.919	cents	0.902
17	brings	0.792	signaling	0.953	reserves	0.931	67	continuing	0.737	imports	0.919	tightness	0.902
18	barge	0.792	dampening	0.950	exchange	0.931	68	transforming	0.737	chevrons	0.919	subjective	0.902
19	ancient	0.791	oil	0.950	peaks	0.931	69	william	0.737	exxon	0.917	doha	0.901
20	masters	0.786	java	0.949	highs	0.929	70	regard	0.736	manifold	0.917	spikes	0.900
21	politicians	0.785	cents	0.948	splurge	0.927	71	vicinity	0.736	trading	0.916	winter	0.898
22	professor	0.783	deutsche	0.948	exporting	0.927	72	booming	0.735	suisse	0.916	exxon	0.897
23	recommendations	0.782	gasoline	0.947	gasoline	0.923	73	audiences	0.735	automaker	0.916	uncharted	0.897
24	thick	0.782	traders	0.946	dampening	0.923	74	advocacy	0.734	tepid	0.915	chairmans	0.897
25	marked	0.780	nariman	0.946	pinch	0.922	75	mass	0.733	futures	0.915	soared	0.897
26	alter	0.779	discounting	0.945	oils	0.922	76	remarkable	0.733	geopolitical	0.915	conocophillips	0.896
27	sounds	0.776	behravesh	0.944	soaring	0.922	77	breaking	0.732	record	0.914	clamping	0.895
28	hole	0.776	retailers	0.943	exported	0.920	78	facts	0.732	yergin	0.914	exporters	0.895
29	peril	0.775	barrel	0.942	reidy	0.919	79	constituents	0.731	clamping	0.914	bps	0.895
30	extremely	0.771	heating	0.942	output	0.919	80	isolated	0.730	retail	0.914	crimp	0.895
31	avoided	0.770	oils	0.942	exporter	0.917	81	vibrant	0.703	hess	0.913	cutback	0.894
32	loose	0.770	shocks	0.941	easing	0.917	82	unequivocal	0.730	pinch	0.912	global	0.894
33	multi	0.769	idled	0.941	putins	0.917	83	recommended	0.729	chairmans	0.911	pretax	0.893
34	appear	0.767	jolted	0.941	record	0.916	84	unprotected	0.728	closings	0.911	disrupted	0.893
35	devastating	0.766	output	0.940	tumbling	0.916	85	inundated	0.727	depository	0.910	liquefied	0.892
36	draft	0.764	peaks	0.937	demand	0.915	86	ears	0.726	disrupted	0.909	premcor	0.892
37	possibility	0.764	profits	0.936	downers	0.915	87	exuberant	0.725	winter	0.909	jumped	0.891
38	roiled	0.759	soared	0.936	automaker	0.913	88	greenhouse	0.725	sunoco	0.909	mobil	0.891
39	retracted	0.758	exported	0.936	heating	0.913	89	powers	0.725	chevron	0.908	arabica	0.890
40	mismanagement	0.758	premcor	0.935	disruptions	0.913	90	alarms	0.724	doha	0.908	bros	0.890
41	plot	0.757	disruptions	0.934	atm	0.911	91	comment	0.723	bros	0.907	mercantile	0.890
42	produced	0.757	exporter	0.934	tepid	0.911	92	brokers	0.722	commodity	0.907	analyst	0.887
43	becomes	0.755	easing	0.933	chevrons	0.911	93	deny	0.722	commodities	0.906	gas	0.887
44	decades	0.753	crimp	0.932	jolted	0.911	94	pianos	0.722	wholesalers	0.905	geopolitical	0.886
45	consider	0.752	dent	0.932	embargo	0.909	95	baker	0.721	refiner	0.905	interruptions	0.886
46	wealthier	0.752	demand	0.932	pricing	0.909	96	ethnic	0.720	soar	0.903	squeeze	0.886
47	dismissed	0.751	roasters	0.930	roasters	0.908	97	cyclical	0.720	analyst	0.903	chevron	0.885
48	repeated	0.751	tightness	0.930	dent	0.908	98	relieve	0.720	products	0.903	crude	0.885
49	delays	0.750	atm	0.929	production	0.908	99	studies	0.720	bps	0.903	nations	0.884
50	unique	0.749	pricing	0.929	barrel	0.908	100	spread	0.720	thurtell	0.902	derivatives	0.884

Table V. Results of LSA for Hurricane Katrina for 3 different queries. Words are ordered based on their cosine distance from the query vector. Includes the 100 words most similar to the query.

Hurricane Sandy LSA													
	"climate"	Similarity	"energy"	Similarity	"climate, energy"	Similarity		"climate"	Similarity	"energy"	Similarity	"climate, energy"	Similarity
1	climate	1.000	energy	1.000	climate	0.979	51	fuels	0.895	extracted	0.862	deniers	0.906
2	change	0.963	technologies	0.949	warmer	0.961	52	kerry	0.894	abundance	0.860	vigil	0.904
3	reduce	0.957	fuels	0.946	georgetown	0.956	53	hydroelectric	0.893	tackle	0.860	proportion	0.904
4	warming	0.957	fossil	0.943	warming	0.955	54	pollute	0.893	regulating	0.858	targets	0.902
5	reducing	0.956	hydroelectric	0.936	reduce	0.955	55	technologies	0.891	outweigh	0.858	mover	0.901
6	pressures	0.952	renewable	0.932	energy	0.952	56	altering	0.890	envisioned	0.857	scientists	0.899
7	georgetown	0.947	rogue	0.932	reducing	0.951	57	regulating	0.890	miserably	0.856	automobiles	0.899
8	lowering	0.943	employing	0.921	pressures	0.948	58	mover	0.889	subtler	0.856	devise	0.898
9	talks	0.942	warmer	0.920	fossil	0.947	59	believing	0.886	upending	0.855	controlling	0.898
10	devise	0.938	supplying	0.918	fuels	0.946	60	enhancement	0.885	pollution	0.855	modification	0.896
11	expands	0.938	firing	0.913	change	0.946	61	planets	0.885	solar	0.855	trillions	0.895
12	outweigh	0.937	efficiency	0.911	technologies	0.945	62	eco	0.883	modification	0.855	scenarios	0.893
13	warmer	0.937	streamlined	0.911	coal	0.943	63	cities	0.882	sciences	0.854	earths	0.893
14	plants	0.934	generating	0.908	global	0.942	64	automobiles	0.882	automobiles	0.853	abundance	0.891
15	drought	0.933	altering	0.906	hydroelectric	0.941	65	greenhouse	0.880	regulatory	0.852	attribute	0.890
16	manipulation	0.929	coal	0.906	emissions	0.940	66	notoriously	0.879	trapping	0.851	greenhouse	0.888
17	emissions	0.929	consumption	0.900	firing	0.937	67	strict	0.878	surprises	0.850	enhancement	0.887
18	global	0.929	adapt	0.898	outweigh	0.936	68	porous	0.878	earths	0.849	doom	0.886
19	imperative	0.927	sparked	0.895	generating	0.933	69	groundwater	0.878	measured	0.848	funneling	0.885
20	arizona	0.924	dimming	0.894	carbon	0.930	70	consumption	0.877	mover	0.847	groundwater	0.885
21	attribute	0.923	georgetown	0.892	arizona	0.930	71	modification	0.876	waterkeeper	0.846	hotter	0.884
22	scientists	0.923	carbon	0.889	editorials	0.929	72	hotter	0.876	change	0.845	copenhagen	0.884
23	planet	0.920	masonry	0.888	plants	0.927	73	earths	0.875	deniers	0.843	oceans	0.883
24	pollution	0.919	global	0.886	humanitys	0.926	74	markedly	0.875	sub	0.843	windstorms	0.883
25	curbing	0.918	erratic	0.885	altering	0.926	75	retaining	0.875	blackouts	0.843	planets	0.881
26	coal	0.917	searchable	0.884	manipulation	0.924	76	attests	0.875	manipulation	0.842	emission	0.881
27	editorials	0.915	faster	0.882	pollution	0.923	77	dimming	0.875	depleting	0.841	munich	0.881
28	targets	0.914	emissions	0.881	employing	0.923	78	employing	0.874	funneling	0.841	rogue	0.880
29	oceans	0.912	skeptics	0.880	drought	0.922	79	proportion	0.873	curbing	0.841	markedly	0.878
30	vigil	0.912	proportion	0.877	extracted	0.921	80	efficiency	0.873	plants	0.841	pollute	0.878
31	scenarios	0.911	trillions	0.876	foretaste	0.920	81	depleted	0.873	sources	0.841	ozone	0.878
32	extracted	0.911	foretaste	0.876	skeptics	0.919	82	exemplified	0.872	frequent	0.841	depleting	0.877
33	humanitys	0.911	warming	0.875	lowering	0.919	83	murky	0.872	oil	0.841	epa	0.877
34	distraction	0.910	reduce	0.875	dioxide	0.918	84	sparked	0.870	planet	0.839	overheated	0.877
35	pentagon	0.910	editorials	0.875	efficiency	0.918	85	essay	0.870	emission	0.838	contiguous	0.877
36	contiguous	0.909	humanitys	0.875	planet	0.917	86	atmospheric	0.869	ozone	0.837	frequent	0.876
37	controlling	0.908	eco	0.875	curbing	0.917	87	overheated	0.869	pentagon	0.836	sensible	0.874
38	carbon	0.907	ton	0.874	consumption	0.915	88	copenhagen	0.869	windstorms	0.836	freely	0.872
39	dioxide	0.906	efficient	0.872	expands	0.914	89	fahrenheit	0.868	acceptance	0.836	kerry	0.871
40	extremes	0.905	cities	0.872	subtler	0.913	90	energy	0.868	buildup	0.835	ton	0.870
41	munich	0.903	doom	0.870	dimming	0.912	91	adapt	0.868	copenhagen	0.835	fahrenheit	0.870
42	firing	0.902	compounding	0.869	talks	0.911	92	windstorms	0.867	focuses	0.835	exemplified	0.869
43	subtler	0.902	mentioning	0.868	sparked	0.910	93	funneling	0.867	vein	0.834	persistence	0.869
44	foretaste	0.900	climate	0.868	pentagon	0.909	94	illustrative	0.866	epa	0.834	atmospheric	0.869
45	generating	0.899	reducing	0.867	eco	0.909	95	vapor	0.866	drought	0.833	environmental	0.866
46	environmental	0.899	pressures	0.866	adapt	0.909	96	abundance	0.864	harvard	0.832	increasing	0.865
47	fossil	0.899	arizona	0.864	imperative	0.908	97	prosperity	0.864	redundant	0.832	levi	0.865
48	deniers	0.898	candlelit	0.863	trapping	0.908	98	freely	0.863	greenhouse	0.829	meaningfully	0.864
49	trapping	0.897	dioxide	0.862	cities	0.907	99	emission	0.862	temperature	0.827	porous	0.863
50	skeptics	0.896	degrees	0.862	regulating	0.906	100	scientific	0.862	iron	0.826	essay	0.862

Table VI. Results of LSA for Hurricane Sandy for 3 different queries. Words are ordered based on their cosine distance from the query vector. Includes the 100 words most similar to the query.

Hurricane Katrina LDA

topic 0	topic 1	topic 2	topic 3	topic 4	topic 5	topic 6	topic 7	topic 8	topic 9
quinn	leve	job	hous	billion	polic	bush	bodi	price	school
team	corp	hous	water	tax	casino	presid	death	oil	student
season	engin	krt	home	feder	offic	democrat	offici	percent	univers
time	flood	st	street	hous	peopl	republican	state	energi	tulan
player	water	home	time	senat	street	hous	home	gas	colleg
play	canal	antoin	day	congress	day	polit	die	gasolin	educ
game	protect	back	peopl	cut	depart	white	victim	rate	back
coach	wall	school	back	republican	fire	administr	peopl	market	campus
start	system	restaur	tree	spend	citi	senat	famili	week	return
point	louisiana	peopl	live	bill	biloxi	respons	parish	product	high
open	armi	work	boat	budget	hotel	govern	st	month	district
make	offici	month	resid	govern	crime	nation	louisiana	consum	enrol
made	surg	worker	work	money	store	american	identifi	report	public
day	feet	louisiana	build	program	reddick	time	morgu	economi	class
sign	project	day	neighborhood	state	water	leader	relat	compani	warm
top	level	live	damag	propos	time	critic	coron	increas	research
week	pump	chitrib	roof	cost	back	peopl	dr	gulf	time
score	lake	rebuild	photograph	bush	gambl	iraq	dead	fuel	hurrican
world	design	end	flood	plan	mississippi	parti	found	expect	teacher
lead	environment	return	photo	million	hous	effort	remain	gallon	institut
topic 10	**topic 11**	**topic 12**	**topic 13**	**topic 14**	**topic 15**	**topic 16**	**topic 17**	**topic 18**	**topic 19**
peopl	leve	red	famili	gras	game	music	town	peopl	ship
black	hous	cross	home	mardi	team	jazz	plan	time	airlin
king	flood	donat	children	french	saint	band	build	american	show
time	protect	relief	day	restaur	play	musician	develop	disast	news
west	rebuild	organ	live	parad	season	art	school	news	time
mayor	home	volunt	back	street	home	cultur	hous	report	northrop
day	system	victim	school	back	footbal	museum	state	world	network
presid	feder	fund	mother	peopl	player	perform	design	stori	travel
polit	work	peopl	friend	quarter	coach	play	communiti	book	air
bloomberg	offici	million	peopl	time	state	festiv	resid	nation	nbc
democrat	peopl	chariti	im	home	time	artist	architect	thing	million
campaign	hotel	disast	call	day	leagu	song	board	public	broadcast
franklin	state	american	hous	citi	stadium	work	meet	word	report
candid	neighborhood	money	stay	make	giant	show	public	natur	abc
ferrer	engin	group	time	club	san	time	local	day	cruis
poll	corp	rais	dont	louisiana	back	concert	street	govern	program
hop	powel	effort	work	cook	bowl	includ	architectur	media	film
made	billion	food	life	krew	louisiana	orchestra	project	great	channel
hip	busi	org	son	hotel	field	event	urban	make	televis
dont	krt	shelter	left	celebr	win	record	peopl	histori	navi
topic 20	**topic 21**	**topic 22**	**topic 23**	**topic 24**	**topic 25**	**topic 26**	**topic 27**	**topic 28**	**topic 29**
compani	insur	peopl	hospit	guard	nagin	evacu	state	hous	fema
busi	flood	church	patient	nation	neighborhood	water	car	evacue	respons
work	damag	black	health	state	resid	peopl	charg	fema	feder
employe	billion	massachusett	medic	militari	black	offici	law	peopl	agenc
million	state	state	nurs	troop	mayor	resid	court	offici	brown
contract	compani	poverti	care	offici	citi	louisiana	vehicl	home	disast
servic	loss	work	dr	bush	rebuild	rita	investig	houston	govern
worker	mississippi	romney	center	unit	white	area	offic	feder	emerg
bank	home	poor	doctor	forc	peopl	flood	attorney	agenc	secur
custom	homeown	american	peopl	feder	elect	coast	lawyer	hotel	offici
week	pay	evacue	evacu	louisiana	home	state	louisiana	trailer	homeland
oper	claim	servic	state	equip	vote	texa	case	famili	hous
port	cost	communiti	flu	day	hous	center	judg	state	depart
system	allstat	job	emerg	effort	area	wind	report	shelter	report
execut	area	base	staff	relief	flood	emerg	fraud	emerg	manag
line	properti	day	home	presid	return	gulf	station	live	chertoff
area	louisiana	time	day	respons	plan	home	feder	month	white
damag	industri	live	die	blanco	percent	day	offici	apart	bush
small	feder	worker	diseas	disast	lower	houston	file	govern	plan
call	polici	nation	univers	rescu	landrieu	mile	system	assist	investig

Table VII. A 30 topic LDA model for Hurricane Katrina. Each topic contains the 20 most probable (stemmed) words in its distribution. We stem words according to a Porter stemmer [44].

Hurricane Sandy LDA									
topic 0	topic 1	topic 2	topic 3	topic 4	topic 5	topic 6	topic 7	topic 8	topic 9
power	obama	climat	hous	school	broadway	park	train	hospit	insur
util	romney	flood	home	time	street	tree	author	home	compani
servic	presid	chang	water	fund	theater	boardwalk	station	patient	percent
compani	campaign	protect	beach	peopl	time	jersey	line	health	sale
author	elect	build	car	day	work	damag	servic	medic	month
electr	state	rise	live	student	open	fire	tunnel	nurs	market
island	republican	sea	flood	children	perform	seasid	jersey	evacu	busi
custom	vote	water	peopl	public	peopl	shore	gas	emerg	increas
state	polit	risk	point	famili	day	busi	transport	center	million
system	governor	level	fire	american	show	height	power	dr	loss
grid	voter	energi	street	red	week	summer	damag	peopl	industri
long	day	natur	rockaway	donat	power	town	subway	citi	home
verizon	poll	power	back	case	run	time	street	offici	report
nation	democrat	weather	day	work	danc	beach	manhattan	resid	expect
work	peopl	develop	insur	cross	play	work	offici	island	billion
phone	debat	make	damag	govern	light	pier	transit	day	rate
commiss	candid	cost	resid	live	night	island	long	care	week
network	presidenti	state	work	disast	cancel	stand	system	bird	retail
con	time	plan	famili	parent	halloween	back	day	mayor	consum
edison	nation	surg	neighborhood	relief	close	visit	island	mold	claim
topic 10	topic 11	topic 12	topic 13	topic 14	topic 15	topic 16	topic 17	topic 18	topic 19
museum	hous	wind	show	peopl	concert	feder	water	beach	build
art	water	power	time	home	perform	billion	system	sand	street
work	peopl	day	stewart	live	ticket	state	state	island	develop
galleri	build	close	peopl	hous	music	hous	million	park	apart
water	resid	weather	make	water	show	aid	flood	dune	properti
street	home	coast	photo	hotel	million	disast	plant	long	million
damag	volunt	expect	live	day	money	money	cost	offici	floor
flood	food	servic	twitter	polic	benefit	program	car	rockaway	estat
space	day	travel	call	work	hall	damag	occupi	corp	water
center	work	area	work	resid	rais	govern	sewag	project	manhattan
compani	power	offici	news	famili	song	republican	river	debri	flood
build	live	peopl	stori	apart	peopl	jersey	peopl	town	resid
seaport	red	state	includ	time	night	million	dutch	home	real
includ	island	damag	inform	island	work	congress	project	resid	owner
insur	apart	flood	magazin	door	relief	cuomo	build	communiti	squar
offic	week	nation	photograph	evacu	refund	senat	geotherm	sea	damag
artist	street	massachusett	design	call	springsteen	insur	work	day	tenant
aquarium	heat	center	post	worker	jersey	cost	park	boardwalk	month
site	brooklyn	report	print	staten	sale	offici	area	public	feet
research	hook	hour	page	report	band	homeown	engin	work	move

Table VIII. A 20 topic LDA model for Hurricane Sandy. Each topic contains the 20 most probable words in its distribution. We stem words according to a Porter stemmer [44].

Sandy Topic 0				Sandy Topic 2				Katrina Topic 8			
1	power	51	generat	1	climat	51	coastal	1	price	51	drop
2	util	52	solar	2	flood	52	bloomberg	2	oil	52	reserv
3	servic	53	spokesman	3	chang	53	public	3	percent	53	close
4	compani	54	voic	4	protect	54	barrier	4	energi	54	inflat
5	author	55	energi	5	build	55	part	5	gas	55	spend
6	electr	56	manag	6	rise	56	elev	6	gasolin	56	refin
7	island	57	emerg	7	sea	57	presid	7	rate	57	august
8	custom	58	liberti	8	water	58	system	8	market	58	depart
9	state	59	local	9	risk	59	map	9	week	59	natur
10	system	60	respons	10	level	60	gas	10	product	60	chief
11	grid	61	governor	11	energi	61	vulner	11	month	61	job
12	long	62	prepar	12	natur	62	disast	12	consum	62	end
13	verizon	63	feder	13	power	63	peopl	13	report	63	septemb
14	nation	64	copper	14	weather	64	fuel	14	economi	64	profit
15	work	65	govern	15	develop	65	event	15	compani	65	feder
16	phone	66	rate	16	make	66	polici	16	increas	66	gain
17	commiss	67	problem	17	cost	67	step	17	gulf	67	retail
18	network	68	regul	18	state	68	zone	18	fuel	68	record
19	con	69	link	19	plan	69	damag	19	expect	69	interest
20	edison	70	cost	20	surg	70	live	20	gallon	70	damag
21	day	71	percent	21	nation	71	effect	21	cent	71	share
22	restor	72	backup	22	warm	72	unit	22	barrel	72	rais
23	public	73	report	23	infrastructur	73	coast	23	higher	73	term
24	communic	74	investig	24	global	74	research	24	stock	74	demand
25	million	75	damag	25	increas	75	agenc	25	economist	75	futur
26	worker	76	chief	26	citi	76	recent	26	quarter	76	billion
27	execut	77	elli	27	reduc	77	long	27	econom	77	level
28	cuomo	78	ed	28	carbon	78	generat	28	high	78	declin
29	offici	79	wireless	29	environment	79	heat	29	cost	79	hit
30	employe	80	carrier	30	scientist	80	effort	30	suppli	80	investor
31	batteri	81	presid	31	billion	81	rais	31	day	81	survey
32	offic	82	hit	32	engin	82	pollut	32	analyst	82	state
33	oper	83	counti	33	studi	83	industri	33	refineri	83	remain
34	week	84	general	34	time	84	project	34	nation	84	effect
35	call	85	consum	35	resili	85	standard	35	industri	85	hurrican
36	time	86	consolid	36	futur	86	code	36	time	86	impact
37	charg	87	equip	37	emiss	87	hit	37	rose	87	heat
38	provid	88	director	38	area	88	issu	38	point	88	credit
39	plan	89	issu	39	govern	89	ocean	39	rise	89	labor
40	includ	90	cabl	40	feet	90	oyster	40	fell	90	servic
41	pay	91	critic	41	requir	91	design	41	fed	91	american
42	wire	92	cellphon	42	higher	92	larg	42	averag	92	continu
43	statu	93	technolog	43	mayor	93	offici	43	trade	93	unit
44	failur	94	run	44	extrem	94	warn	44	million	94	show
45	home	95	caus	45	propos	95	face	45	growth	95	produc
46	line	96	telephon	46	high	96	east	46	index	96	note
47	board	97	substat	47	plant	97	sever	47	yesterday	97	petroleum
48	panel	98	guard	48	includ	98	univers	48	sale	98	earn
49	hour	99	place	49	insur	99	decad	49	crude	99	concern
50	area	100	chairman	50	world	100	solut	50	coast	100	import

Table IX. A 100 word extension of selected topics from the Sandy and Katrina LDA models.